

Request for Qualifications
for the
Design-Build-Finance-Operation and Maintenance
WWTP Upgrade Project
RFQ #2153
Addendum/Clarification #3

This Addendum/Clarification shall be incorporated into the Request for Qualifications.

Date Issued: June 6, 2013

Amendments to RFQ:

APPENDIX B – TABLE 4.2/5.2A – PROJECT LEAD KEY INDIVIDUALS:

REPLACE the title of Column 2 “Employing Team Member” with the title “Employing Project Lead Team Member”.

REPLACE page B-7 with the posted “Revised page B–7.

APPENDIX B – TABLE 4.2/5.2D – FINANCING TEAM KEY INDIVIDUALS:

REPLACE the title of Column 2 “Employing O&M Team Member” with the title “Employing Financing Team Member”.

REPLACE page B-13 with the posted “Revised page B–13.

ADDENDUM/CLARIFICATION #2, QUESTION 1

REPLACE the answer to Question 1 in Addendum/Clarification #2 with the following:

It is the City’s intent to evaluate the Project Lead(s), the Design-Build JV partners and/or Team Members having major roles in design and/or construction, the O&M Contractor(s), and the Financing Team, and not sub-consultants and subcontractors that may be needed to support the project delivery in relatively minor roles.

Despite the foregoing, it is up to the Respondent to determine how many Team Members it presents in its RFQ submission to demonstrate the pertinent experience. Respondents are referred to Section 5.1 for recommendations on what types of experience it may wish to consider pertinent.

Clarifications to RFQ:

The following is a list of submitted questions and the associated City of Regina response. Firm names have been removed however the wording of the questions is otherwise identical as received.

Question 1

The first paragraph of Section 4.1 – YOUR TEAM – Team Members (p. 19) of the RFQ states that “It is recommended that each Team Member’s brief overview be of approximately 5 pages in length”.

Given the multidisciplinary nature of this DBFOM project, some teams may have many Team Members. For example, in the case of a joint venture, a team could easily have multiple unique Team Members for each of the Project Lead, Design-Builder, O&M and Finance roles, in addition to multiple design firms (i.e. process electrical structural). If a five page “brief overview” was provided for each of these Team Members the resulting overview could easily be in excess of 50 pages. Is it the City’s intent for each Team Member’s overview to be five pages, or for the entire team’s overview to be five pages?

Answer 1

The recommended length of 5 pages is intended to apply to each Team Member’s overview.

Question 2

Could the City please provide copies of the City of Regina Wastewater Treatment Annual Report from 2008 to 2012?

Answer 2

Annual reports were not produced from 2008 to 2012. However, some similar information in the form of annual reports to WSA and corporate Annual Reports are available for those years, and will be posted in the Data Room.

Question 3

In section 3.6 of the RFQ, the City makes certain commitments to safeguard the confidentiality of information identified by the Respondents as confidential. However, in section 1.11 of the RFQ the City says that it does not incur any contractual obligation to anybody (including any Respondent). In view of the fact that Respondents are being asked to provide commercially sensitive information (including financial information) to the City, please consider whether the City’s confidentiality obligations in 3.6 should be expected [I think they meant "excepted"] from the disclaimer in 1.11.

Answer 3

The City does not intend to amend the RFQ as proposed in the question above.

It is the City's practice to deem any proposal responses (which would include financial statements) that are received by the City as a result of any public procurement process as confidential. In the event that the City of Regina receives a request for information that would involve third party information (which would include proposal responses and financial statements), the City of Regina is required by The Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (Saskatchewan) to notify and receive approval from the third party in order to release this information.

Question 4

We would like to ask for an extension of one month to the delivery of the RFQ response in order to prepare a comprehensive response given the fact that this is the first P3 Project in Saskatchewan.

Answer 4

At this time, the City is not considering an extension to the RFQ open period.

