

From: [Neil Struthers](#)
To: [Jamie Hanson](#)
Subject: FW: [External email] Site selection criteria
Date: Tuesday, May 24, 2022 11:26:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Possible Site Selection Criteria.xlsx](#)

From: Michael Roma <Roma@rcstrategies.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2022 10:50 AM
To: Eric de Waal <EDEWAAL@regina.ca>; Neil Struthers <NSTRUTHE@regina.ca>
Cc: Michael Henderson <m.henderson@hcma.ca>; Nelly Goodarzi [28\(1\)](#)
Subject: [External email] Site selection criteria

Eric/Neil,

Michael mentioned to me where things are at with looking at another site. Not sure if it adds value or relevant, but I have attached a list of site selection criteria we have used in analyzing different sites for other clients.

Like I said, this type of approach might help (it looks at sites from a “recreation” perspective) if site debate comes into play. If this would help, it would need to be tailored to a Regina context. Also, I have not discussed this with Michael or Nelly yet as an FYI.

Mike

Michael Roma
Pronouns: He/Him/His
RC Strategies
Edmonton | Vancouver | Toronto
[rcstrategies.ca](#)
780-292-3584



CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not open links or attachments you were not expecting, even from known senders. Contact the Tech Service Centre at 306-777-7980 if the email is suspicious.

Initial screen	
Is the site large enough for the proposed facility?	If no, remove from options
Is the site owned by the City?	If no, remove from options

Criteria	Description	Scoring	Weighting for specific project (0-5)
16(1)(a), 16(1)(c)			